

# CMSI Consultation Response

## Respondent Details

NAME

Luis Campos

COUNTRY

United States

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Assurance provider/auditor

ORGANISATION

SmartAccEss

## COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

Document:  
Governance

### QUESTION 1

**The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

### QUESTION 2

**Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?**

Response: yes

Document:  
Assurance

## 1. Introduction

### COMMENT:

*For mining companies that have several operations, how is the site visit cycle gonna work?. Right now for RGMP the idea is to visit all sites in a 3-5 year cycle. With the proposed idea to do assurance every three years, how is this supposed to work?...In some cases it would be very difficult to visit ll sites in one year, or on the other hand, tehte could be sites that would not be visited in a long time.*

*Or maybe the assurance is not for the mining company, but for each site?*

---

### QUESTION 1

**From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

## Performance Area 18: Water Stewardship

SECTION: 18.1 Water Management and Performance, Good Practice

COMMENT:

*there should be a requirement for the mines to have a clear Water governance model. Water management is transversal to many areas of the mine (Environmental, Mine Ops, Process, Projects, Exploration, Planning, Permitting, Community Relations, Administrative areas, others) and many mines struggle in defining how to manage water in an integrated fashion (i.e every area is responsible for a "portion" of water management, but there is no clear accountability on how to make decisions in the short and long term)*

---

QUESTION 1

**Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 2

**Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 3

**From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 1

**We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.**

Response: No Response