### **CMSI Consultation Response**

### Respondent Details

NAME

Monika Andersson

COUNTRY

Sweden

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Industry (upstream)

**ORGANISATION** 

Zinkgruvan Mining

### **COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT**

## Document: Governance

#### **OUESTION 1**

The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

#### **QUESTION 2**

Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?

Response: yes

# Document: Assurance

#### QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

## Document: Standard

#### Introduction

#### COMMENT:

We at Zinkgruvan Mining are positive about the consolidated standard and the spirit behind it. But:

- Most important of all: We are missing a designated column to document requirements that are not applicable, or covered by an equivalent standard or legislation (according to a national panel.)

- It is important in the assurance process to base decisions on actual risks, taking into account the jurisdiction in which the business operates. Different legal environments pose varying risks, and understanding these jurisdiction-specific factors ensures that the assurance process is accurate and relevant.
- In several places in the standard, women are identified as a vulnerable group and, for example, should be consulted separately. It should be clarified that any vulnerable groups are defined per jurisdiction and social context and that a requirement should not be enforced when it contradicts national law.

#### Performance Area 22: Pollution Prevention

SECTION: 22.2 Mineral Wastes, Good Practice, 1

#### COMMENT:

The standard states, "Dispose of mineral waste in a manner that is designed to achieve geophysical and geochemical stability (for example, taking into consideration the potential for Acid Rock Drainage)."

It is important that such a description does not prevent a business from, for example, using waste rock to build its tailings fascilities.

### **QUESTION 1**

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

#### **OUESTION 2**

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

#### QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

## Document: Claims

#### **OUESTION 1**

We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.

Response: No Response

no answer