# **CMSI Consultation Response**

# Respondent Details

NAME

Richard Elmer

**COUNTRY** 

**United Kingdom** 

**PERMISSION** 

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

**STAKEHOLDER** 

Supplier / business partner

**ORGANISATION** 

Knight Piésold Limited

# **COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT**

# Document: Governance

### **OUESTION 1**

The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

### **OUESTION 2**

Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?

Response: yes

# Document: Assurance

## **OUESTION 1**

From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 1: Significantly below

The assurance process is unlikely to be able to be practically applied, given the shortage of suitably qualified professionals or companies in the industry.

Document: Standard

**Performance Area 21: Tailings Management** 

SECTION: 21.1 Tailings Management

COMMENT:

Although the standard is supposed to be consolidated, there remains a choice between two standards: GISTM and MAC guidelines. GISTM has yet to be revised to meet technical standards such as CDA, ICOLD, ANCOLD or MAC. If GISTM is to be adopted, it requires to be updated to include engineering design parameters consistent with the above international standards.

## QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?

Response: 2: Below expectations

Insufficient detail

## **QUESTION 2**

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

Conflicting guidance

### **QUESTION 3**

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

# Document: Claims

### **QUESTION 1**

We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.

Response: No Response