

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Raika Katiuscia Alves Silva

COUNTRY

Brazil

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Assurance provider/auditor

ORGANISATION

RP Soluções Engenharia

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

Document:
Governance

QUESTION 1

The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?

Response: yes

Document:
Assurance

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Standard

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical

implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Claims

QUESTION 1

We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.

Response: No Response

I believe that setting an initial threshold is interesting, especially considering the scope of implementation of the Standard. The 75

1. Perhaps establishing a gradual path is interesting, as long as it is linked to a timeline. To avoid the company remaining in the same place for years.
2. I believe that thematic areas III -Design, Construction, Operation, and Monitoring and IV -Management and Governance are fundamental for implementation and perhaps could have different percentages or shorter implementation timelines. Especially area III.
3. I didn't quite understand the intention of the recognition. And who would do that? I believe it lacks more data to evaluate this point.