

# CMSI Consultation Response

## Respondent Details

NAME

Bernd Mosshammer

COUNTRY

Germany

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Industry (midstream/downstream)

ORGANISATION

Audi AG

## COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

Document:  
Governance

QUESTION 1

**The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?**

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

**Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?**

Response: yes

Document:  
Assurance

QUESTION 1

**From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

Document:  
Standard

QUESTION 1

**Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?**

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

**Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical**

**implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?**

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 3

**From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

Document:  
Claims

QUESTION 1

**We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.**

Response: No Response

To 1.: Is there a risk that companies are staying out? I think companies should try to meet the Performance Claim.

To 2.: For critical topics I think it could be a good option to set "minimum requirements" in some areas. And that companies must meet the requirements for the Performance Claim.

To 3.: I think this should be the goal for each company to get on a highest level (Leading Practice. I am not sure if a higher-level claim will have a higher value for them.