

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Anonymous

COUNTRY

France

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my anonymous feedback.

STAKEHOLDER

Industry (midstream/downstream)

ORGANISATION

Anonymous

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

Document:
Governance

QUESTION 1

The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?

Response: unsure

Document:
Assurance

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Standard

Performance Area 1: Corporate Requirements

COMMENT:

Préciser les types de risques ; c'est très large, ne faudrait-il pas parler de risques ESG

TRANSLATION:

Specify the types of risk; it's very large, so shouldn't we be referring to ESG risks?

Performance Area 12: Stakeholder Engagement

COMMENT:

Au niveau de l'usine, ne faut il pas distinguer les parties prenantes internes et externes ? Les parties prenantes internes peuvent être facilement intégrées mais beaucoup plus difficile à faire pour les parties prenantes externes; les exigences apparaissent très poussées pour ces dernières

TRANSLATION:

At plant scale, shouldn't we distinguish between internal and external stakeholders? Internal stakeholders can be easily integrated, but this is much more difficult for external stakeholders; the requirements for the latter appear to be very high.

Performance Area 13: Community Impacts and Benefits

COMMENT:

idem - actions très détaillées pour les parties prenantes externes avec une mise en pratique très difficile

TRANSLATION:

Same—very detailed actions for external stakeholders, but extremely difficult to put into practice.

COMMENT:

idem que pour le précédent domaines. On ne peut pas distinguer les groupes vulnérables des parties prenantes externes

TRANSLATION:

Same for the previous areas. Vulnerable groups cannot be distinguished from external stakeholders.

Performance Area 3: Responsible Supply Chains

SECTION: References

COMMENT:

Les demandes des clients devraient être normées pour ne pas mobiliser des ressources trop importantes

TRANSLATION:

Customer requests should be standardized so as not to mobilize too many resources.

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?

Response: **3: Meets expectations**

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

Certaines attentes vont trop loin pour être facilement applicable à l'échelon d'une usine

Some expectations go too far to be easily applied on a plant scale.

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Claims

QUESTION 1

We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.

Response: No Response

Oui une rampe d'accès plus graduelle serait plus encourageante. La norme devrait également tenir compte du nombre de salariés de l'installation car dans des petites unités les moyens humains sont limités (voir mes commentaires généraux) Certains points doivent être des "must have" comme le traitement des déchets, des eaux usées.. D'autres qui nécessitent des moyens importants sans impact direct devraient être plus nuancés car ce sont des exercices théoriques qui n'ont pas de conséquences immédiates : cartographie des parties prenantes, collaboration avec elle....

Il pourrait être intéressant d'avoir plusieurs niveaux de label pour encourager sans sanctionner.

Yes, a more gradual access ramp would be more encouraging. The standard should also take into account the number of employees at the facility, as human resources are limited in small units (see my general comments).

Some points should be "must-haves" , such as waste and waste water treatment. Others, which require considerable resources with no direct impact, should be more nuanced, as they are theoretical exercises with no immediate consequences: stakeholder mapping, collaboration with them...

It might be interesting to have several levels of labels to encourage without sanctions.