

# CMSI Consultation Response

## Respondent Details

NAME

ICARO ZAPPAROLI

COUNTRY

Brazil

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Investor

ORGANISATION

Nexa Resources S.A

## COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

Document:  
Governance

### QUESTION 1

**The governance principles that guided the development of the governance model are inclusive, effective, credible, impact-driven, pragmatic and efficient. From your perspective, does the proposed governance model meet expectations for consistency with these principles?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

### QUESTION 2

**Does the proposed governance model ensure no single group is able to unduly influence decisions?**

Response: yes

Document:  
Assurance

### QUESTION 1

**From your perspective, does the Assurance process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

Document:  
Standard

## Performance Area 12: Stakeholder Engagement

SECTION: 12.1 Stakeholder Identification and Engagement, Good Practice, 3

COMMENT:

*Best practice: Review and update the stakeholder map and engagement plan at defined intervals, at least every 12 months.*

Comment: 12 months is a relatively short period of time for some situations, suggestion to place it periodically.

---

## Performance Area 14: Indigenous Peoples

SECTION: 14.1 Managing Engagement, Impacts and Opportunities with Indigenous Peoples

COMMENT:

*Managing Engagement, Impacts and Opportunities with Indigenous Peoples*

*Comment: Include indigenous peoples in relations with local communities, not exclusively, but as one of the stakeholders.*

---

## Performance Area 19: Biodiversity, Ecosystem Services and Nature

SECTION: 19.1 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and Nature, Foundational Practice, 5

COMMENT:

*Foundational: Establish a biodiversity baseline in the area of influence and identify significant biodiversity values as early as possible to support the “avoid” phase of the mitigation hierarchy.*

*Comment: Area of influence limited to the territory where the operational unit would be located.*

---

SECTION: 19.1 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and Nature, Good Practice, 5

COMMENT:

*Foundational: Establish a biodiversity baseline in the area of influence and identify significant biodiversity values as early as possible to support the “avoid” phase of the mitigation hierarchy.*

*Comment: Area of influence limited to the territory where the operational unit would be located.*

*Best practice: Publicly disclose the methodology used to achieve no net loss or net gain, explaining the application of the mitigation hierarchy and additional conservation actions.*

*Comment: Disclose methodology in order to equalize calculation and bring comparability?*

---

## Performance Area 20: Climate Action

SECTION: 20.1 Corporate Climate Change Strategy (Corporate Level), Good Practice, 4

COMMENT:

*Best practice: Identify, quantify and review material sources of Scope 3 GHG emissions at a defined frequency.*

*Comment: Companies need to become more mature in surveying and monitoring Scope 3 emissions. It is important to have some convergence with other standards for calculating Scope 3 emissions issued by other associations that are connected to the sector.*

---

QUESTION 1

**Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations and the collective industry expectation for responsible production practices?**

Response: **5: Significantly exceeds**

QUESTION 2

**Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

QUESTION 3

**From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Foundational, Good, Leading) of the Consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?**

Response: 5: Significantly exceeds

Document:  
Claims

QUESTION 1

**We would value perspectives on a few additional questions related to threshold of performance associated with achievement claims. Please click here/ see page 11 of Reporting and Claims Policy.**

Response: No Response