

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Anonymous

COUNTRY

Norway

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my anonymous feedback.

STAKEHOLDER

Industry / trade organisation

ORGANISATION

Anonymous

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?

Response: 2: Below expectations

it has grown to be a standard that is to extensive for our members.

QUESTION 2

From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?

Response: 2: Below expectations

It is a pity that its grown to be so extensive because it could have been a reealy good tool for companies of all sizes.

Document:
Assurance

4. Consolidated Standard External Assurance Process

SECTION: General Comment

COMMENT:

The whole Assurance Process has become to extensive for our members. Most of our members havent got a sustainability manager, the sustainability work is something that is added to other daily tasks. Our member hasent got the resources to do a self-assesd process on all 24 areas in 3 - 6 months. They also hasent got the financial resources to pay for a assurance provider to visit the sites for several days and use time to interview

stakeholders. So based on the development of the standard and the assurance process, we see that our members will not be able to use it and therefore we will continue using the TSM.

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 2: Below expectations

It is good to have rules and regulations for assurance but the whole assurance process has become too extensive and expensive for our members.

Document:
Standard

General comment on Performance Area

COMMENT:

After discussions with our TSM expert group and our members we have come to the conclusion that the CMSI standard will be too extensive for our members. There are also too many changes in the document so several of the areas have become impossible to fulfill with the new changes. We will therefore continue using the TSM.

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?

Response: 2: Below expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

Document:
Claims

QUESTION 1

Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations