

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Ingrid Putkonen

COUNTRY

Canada

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Consultancy

ORGANISATION

Metals for Humanity

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?

Response: No Response

QUESTION 2

From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?

Response: 2: Below expectations

Document:
Assurance

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Standard

Performance Area 13: Community Impacts and Benefits

COMMENT:

I am concerned by the philosophical conservatism of the current standard's social performance guidance - specifically, the "do no harm" principle that informs 13.1, which formally disallows the possibility that impacts might be positive, and the economic conception of human interest that informs section 13.2. Businesses know quite a bit about human motivation (witness HR theory - which emphasizes the importance of non-economic

factors). *Why fall back on simpler models when thinking about social performance? At the very least, the standard should open towards this richer conception of human interests as Leading Practice.*

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 2: Below expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Claims

QUESTION 1

Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations