

# CMSI Consultation Response

## Respondent Details

NAME

Anonymous

COUNTRY

Canada

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my anonymous feedback.

STAKEHOLDER

Industry (upstream)

ORGANISATION

Anonymous

## COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

### QUESTION 1

**Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model\* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

### QUESTION 2

**From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model\* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:  
Assurance

## 1. Introduction

### COMMENT:

*We recommend that the Consolidated Mining Standard Initiative (CMSI) include a clear applicability table for smelters and refiners, similar to the one found on page 5 of The Copper Mark's Risk Readiness Assessment Criteria Guide. Such a table should explicitly outline which performance areas apply to those facilities to help ensure a practical, proportionate, and context-appropriate approach to implementation. For example, smelters and refiners located in urban or industrial settings may have limited or no direct interaction with local ecosystems and therefore may not need to apply biodiversity-related requirements that are more relevant to mine sites with direct land disturbance or ecosystem interfaces. Including this level of clarity and differentiation would improve the usability, consistency, and relevance of the Standard across operation types and reduce unnecessary administrative burden during implementation and assurance.*

### QUESTION 1

**From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:  
Standard

QUESTION 1

**Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 2

**Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 3

**From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:  
Claims

QUESTION 1

**Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?**

Response: 3: Meets expectations