

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Daniel Godoy Castañeda

COUNTRY

Peru

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Prefer not to say

ORGANISATION

Not Specified

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

Document:
Assurance

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

Document:
Standard

Performance Area 01: Corporate Requirements

SECTION: 1.4 Risk Assessment, Leading Practice, 0

COMMENT:

DENOMINACIÓN:

Sistema Corporativo de Evaluación Socioterritorial de Riesgos y Decisiones Multicriterio y Multiobjetivo (ITDS-MCDM-MODM)

EXPLICACION DE LA DENOMINACIÓN

El sistema está integrado por tres núcleos por niveles:

Inteligencia Territorial del Desaliento Social (ITDS);

Modelación mediante métodos multicriterio (MCDM);

Modelación mediante métodos multiobjetivo (MODM).

Estos tres niveles operan de forma integrada para fortalecer la evaluación corporativa de riesgos, garantizar decisiones informadas y anticipar riesgos socioterritoriales conforme a los lineamientos del Estándar Consolidado de Minería.

REQUISITO:

La empresa implementa y mantiene de manera sistemática un Sistema Corporativo de Evaluación Socioterritorial de Riesgos y Decisiones Multicriterio (ITDS-MCDM-MODM) que integra la participación sistemática de las partes interesadas internas y externas –incluidas comunidades, autoridades locales y titulares de derechos– en la identificación, interpretación, priorización y tratamiento de los riesgos sociales, culturales, institucionales, reputacionales y operativos asociados a las actividades de la empresa.

ELEMENTOS VERIFICABLES DEL SISTEMA:

Integración interpretativa -ITDS (Inteligencia Territorial del Desaliento Social);

Priorización multicriterio -MCDM;

Evaluación multiobjetivo -MODM;

Integración en el Registro Corporativo de Riesgos;

Revisión periódica y mejora continua;

Reporte al Directorio;

Coherencia con los sistemas corporativos de gestión de riesgos, debida diligencia, desempeño social y gobernanza.

EVIDENCIAS MINIMAS REQUERIDAS AL SISTEMA:

Documentación del sistema corporativo (manual, procedimientos, protocolos);

Registros de participación de partes interesadas internas y externas;

Matrices multicriterio aplicadas y actualizadas;

Modelos multiobjetivo utilizados y reportes de escenarios;

Actualizaciones del Registro Corporativo de Riesgos con trazabilidad ITDS-MCDM-MODM;

Reportes periódicos de actualización del sistema y su integración en la toma de decisiones estratégicas;

Actas de reuniones del Directorio donde conste revisión del sistema.

TRANSLATION:

NAME:

Corporate Multi-Criteria and Multi-Objective Socio-Territorial Risk Assessment and Decision-Making System (ITDS-MCDM-MODM)

EXPLANATION OF THE NAME:

The system is made up of three core levels:

Territorial Intelligence of Social Discouragement (ITDS);

Modeling by multi-criteria methods (MCDM);

Modeling by multi-objective methods (MODM).

These three levels are integrated in order to strengthen corporate risk assessment, ensure informed decisions, and anticipate socio-territorial risks in accordance with the Consolidated Mining Standard guidelines.

REQUIREMENT:

The company systematically implements and maintains a Corporate Multi-Criteria Socio-Territorial Risk Assessment and Decision Making System (ITDS-MCDM-MODM) that integrates the systematic involvement of internal and external stakeholders (including communities, local authorities, and rights holders) in the identification, interpretation, prioritization, and handling of social, cultural, institutional, reputational, and operational risks associated with the company's activities.

VERIFIABLE ELEMENTS OF THE SYSTEM:

Interpretative integration - ITDS (Territorial Intelligence of Social Discouragement);

Multi-criteria prioritization - MCDM;

Multi-objective evaluation - MODM;

Integration into the Corporate Risk Registry;

Periodic review and ongoing improvement;

Reporting to the Board of Directors;

Consistency with corporate risk management, due diligence, social performance, and governance systems.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE REQUIRED FOR THE SYSTEM:

Corporate system documentation (manual, procedures, protocols);

Records of internal and external stakeholder participation;

Updated and applied multi-criteria matrices;

Multi-objective models used and scenario reports;

Updates to the Corporate Risk Registry with ITDS-MCDM-MODM traceability;

Periodic system update reports and their integration in strategic decision-making;

Minutes of Board of Directors' meetings where the system is reviewed.

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 1

Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations