

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Sven-Roald Nystø

COUNTRY

Norway

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Indigenous peoples / organisation

ORGANISATION

Not Specified

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?

Response: No Response

QUESTION 2

From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Assurance

General Comment

COMMENT:

I have no specific comments

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Standard

Performance Area 14: Indigenous Peoples

SECTION: Glossary and Interpretive Guidance

COMMENT:

The PA 14 Area is considerably improved since the first public consultation. This applies both as a whole and in individual parts. It is a strength that the former Foundational Practice has been clarified with a new name Towards Good Practice and can no longer be understood as a level of performance.

Furthermore, I find that the Glossary and Interpretive Guidance part of the PA has been strengthened with clearer language, but is also strengthened in terms of having raised the bar for Indigenous peoples' rights both as individuals, groups and communities. The support for Indigenous peoples in various types and contexts of industrial activities also seems to have been strengthened. The scope of ways in which Indigenous peoples can give their consent to such activities, or not give their consent, is explained more broadly. This also applies to the discussion of FPIC. The great variation that different Indigenous communities represent is also formulated in more detail. Similarly, the forms of attachment they may have in relation to their States in different geographical regions seen in a global perspective, are also made visible. This strengthens the standard.

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Claims

General Comment

COMMENT:

I do not have specific comments to this document.

COMMENT:

I do not have any comments to this document

QUESTION 1

Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations