

CMSI Consultation Response

Respondent Details

NAME

Samuel James Hardy

COUNTRY

Australia

PERMISSION

Yes, CMSI can disclose my feedback, name, and organisation.

STAKEHOLDER

Supplier / business partner

ORGANISATION

Not Specified

COMMENTS & QUESTIONS BY DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Overall does the revised version of the Consolidated Standard system (including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy) meet your expectations for improvement relative to the original public consultation version?

Response: 4: Exceeds expectations

QUESTION 2

From your perspective, does the updated Consolidated Standard system, including Standard, Assurance Process, Governance Model* and Claims Policy meet expectations for driving performance improvement across the industry at a global scale?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Assurance

QUESTION 1

From your perspective, does the Assurance Process meet your expectations of a robust, credible, replicable and transparent approach?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Standard

Performance Area 05: Human Rights

SECTION: Applicability

COMMENT:

How will applicability and associated level of action be determined? If a mine site is in a low-risk, highly regulated jurisdiction, will the same requirements apply or would proof that a company/facility is meeting all legal requirements pertaining to human rights be acceptable? This query also pertains to Performance Area 6. If HRRA is required, will guidance on methodology aligned with context be offered?

Performance Area 09: Safe, Healthy and Respectful Workplaces

SECTION: 9.4 Monitoring, Performance and Reporting

COMMENT:

Will standard industry based metrics (ie TRIFR, LTIFR etc) be listed?

Performance Area 12: Engagement

COMMENT:

Suggest adopting IAP2 terminology for defining engagement and consultation and move away from general use of "engagement" which can be misleading.

Performance Area 20: Climate Action

COMMENT:

Mandate scenario analysis in line with IFRS-S2 requirements and/or nationally adopted scenario analysis requirements, ie ASSB-S2 in Australia.

QUESTION 1

Does the scope, content, and narrative style of the consolidated standard meet your individual expectations for responsible production practices?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 2

Do the requirements meet your expectations for being sufficiently clear to support consistent and practical implementation and to achieve necessary performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

QUESTION 3

From your perspective, does the three-level performance structure (Towards Good Practice, Good Practice, Leading Practice) of the consolidated Standard meet your expectations for providing an effective on ramp and clear articulation of good practice and effective path to continuous improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations

Document:
Claims

QUESTION 1

Does the level of transparency provided by the Claims Policy (i.e. through disclosing scores for each Performance Area, aggregated scores to indicate overall progress towards Good Practice, and Performance Claims) meet your expectations to incentivise continuous performance improvement?

Response: 3: Meets expectations